

Single Member Cabinet Decision

Executive
Forward Plan
Reference

E 2705

Outer Area, Bath, Zone 15 & 16 Parking Variations

Decision maker/s	Cllr Caroline Roberts, Cabinet Member for Transport
The Issue	To consider the points raised during the public consultation of Traffic Regulation Order "Outer Area, Bath, Zone 15 & 16 Parking Variation" and decide whether to proceed with the proposed scheme.
Decision Date	02-Jan-15
The decision	<p>The Cabinet Member for Transport agrees that the proposals should be implemented or withdrawn as listed below:</p> <p><u>Margaret's Hill</u> The proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. This proposal increases parking in Zone 16 in a location that is adjacent to the Zone.</p> <p><u>Frankley Buildings</u> The proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals.</p> <p><u>Arundel Road</u> The proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. This proposal allows specific properties (Blue Cedar, 25 and 26) that face onto Camden Road to be included within the Residents Parking Zone.</p>
Rationale for decision	The proposals were consulted upon to address operational parking issues and in response to public and Ward Councillor demands.
Financial and budget implications	<p>Costs are limited to the removal and replacement of the two signs indicating the start of the restriction on to existing posts and overlaying the zone number on the bay signs, a total cost of approximately £110. This work will be carried out as part of the Transport Improvement Block capital budget, which is funded by the Integrated Transport Block Grant.</p> <p>As there are no additional assets there will be no on-going revenue costs as a result of this work.</p>
Issues considered	Social Inclusion; Customer Focus; Sustainability; Human Resources; Property; Equality (age, race, disability, religion/belief, gender, sexual orientation).
Consultation undertaken	Ward Councillor; Cabinet colleagues; Staff; Other B&NES Services; Service Users; Local Residents; Community Interest Groups; Other Public Sector Bodies; Monitoring Officer.

How consultation was carried out	Consultation was carried out by e-mailing internal and external contacts. Notices were also advertised in the local press and erected on all affected roads and locations of specific interest for a 21 day period from 9 th to the 30 th October 2014. All affected people had the opportunity to participate in the TRO consultation process, and to make their opinions known.
Other options considered	<p>To implement all restrictions as advertised. This option was rejected based on the public feedback and objections to the proposals as advertised.</p> <p>To not implement any of the schemes. This option was rejected as the proposals recommended for implementation improve the parking and traffic flow on the specified roads.</p>

Signatures of Decision Makers	
Date of Signature	
Subject to Call-in until 5 Working days have elapsed following publication of the decision	